Wage employment, unemployment and self-employment across countries

Paper by Markus Poschke

Discussion by Ryan Decker Federal Reserve Board

The analysis and conclusions set forth here are those of the author and do not indicate concurrence by other members of the Federal Reserve staff or the Board of Governors.

Key questions

- Why do poorer countries have
 - Higher rates of self-employment
 - Higher ratio of unemployment relative to wage employment
- The facts can be explained by *labor market frictions*
 - Use an elegant, *minimal* extension of DMP model
 - Vacancy posting cost can buy us most of the variation in key moments
 - Job destruction rate important too

Today's agenda

- Job destruction rate
- What is going on with the U.S. economy? Maybe you can help us out.

Job destruction rate

- JD rate is set exogenously, implied by other calibrated moments
 - Near-primary importance for overall model accounting
 - Primary importance for explaining unemployment to employment ratio and self-employment rate in isolation
- Yet job destruction is endogenous
 - Hopenhayn models: even in steady state, JD arises from idiosyncratic productivity/demand shocks
 - Lower job destruction can be caused by
 - Lower shock dispersion
 - Higher *adjustment frictions*
 - Indeed, introducing and varying frictions is key lever for setting JD (and JC) rate

Job destruction rate (2)



Standard off-the-shelf model:

- Firm-level TFP follows AR(1)
- Kinked linear adjustment costs (true for quadratic also)



Job destruction rate (3)

This analysis points to high hiring costs or low matching efficiency and a high job destruction rate as the root causes of not only high UN ratios, but also high self-employment in poor countries.

- Job destruction quite important for explaining key facts
- Yet endogenous+targeted JD might change vacancy cost and TFP dispersion accounting in calibration
 - In other words: we choose JD rate and TFP dispersion (to target firm size distribution) then infer adjustment costs
 - Targeting JD could give us different inferred costs (and dispersion)
- → Large potential returns to endogenizing+targeting JD in the model
 - But large costs!

Puzzles in the U.S. economy

Job and worker flows

- Measures of labor market flows have declined
 - Though U to E may be cyclical, others aren't





Source: Business Dynamics Statistics

Interpreting U.S. trends through Poschke model

- Poschke model: Declining labor market flows consistent with increased labor market frictions
- Also Poschke model: Increased frictions → increased self employment
 - Has self employment increased in the U.S.? *Particularly "own-account"*

Has U.S. self-employment increased?



Has U.S. self-employment increased?

- Different sources give different answers
 - Abraham et al. (2017):
 - 65% of self emp tax filers do not report self emp on survey
 - 50% of self emp on surveys do not report self emp income on tax forms
- Anecdotally, lots of reasons to think of increase in contingent work, "gig" work, etc.
 - Consistent with Poschke labor market frictions rising

	Published Data: Number of Nonemployers, Industry 4853 "Taxi and Limousine Service"
500,000	Source: Abraham, Haltiwanger,
400,000	
300,000	
200,000	
100,000	
U	1998 1999 2000 2001 2003 2005 2005 2005 2005 2007 2005 2009 2010 2011 2011 2013 2013 2013 2013

Has U.S. self-employment changed?



Y axis does not start at zero. Age 0 employer firms as a share of all firms. Source: Census Bureau Business Dynamics Statistics (1981-2015). Data for 2016-2017 are author estimates based on BLS Business Employment Dynamics.

- Rising entrepreneurship driven by rising own-account work consistent with rising frictions
- But unemployment/employment ratio?



Source: Business Dynamics Statistics, Nonemployer Statistics



The point is...

- While Poschke model is designed for cross-country analysis, may have insights for within-country trends
- In particular, two puzzles
 - Declining labor market flows
 - Rising (maybe) self employment, declining new employer business formation
- Very interesting paper, and this general modeling agenda is widely valuable elsewhere too